## Approved For Release 20 0 000 Cla-RDP84-00709R000400070045-3

## **OGC HAS REVIEWED.**

21 October 1919

Office of General Counsel

Procurement of Subber Boots and Baincoats for use of Guards on Station. 25X1A

25X1A

1. Four memorantum of 14 October 1910 raised the question of whether this Florey processes a therity to purchase protective electric; for the use of guards on during the rainy season. Our attraction was invited to Section 13 of P.L. 600, and to the following dicisions of the Comparaller General: 2-256, 3-433, 5-413, 5-33, 11-247 and 23-831.

- 2. Prior to the passage of P.L. 600, the general rule was that protective clothing was a "private furnishing" which was the personal expense of the employee and could not be paid by the Covernment. In an early decisio (3 Comp. Gen. 633) the Comptabiliar General set up the guides to a proper answer:
  - (1) Can the bject of the appropriation be accomplished axioditiously and satisfactorily without the ciothing?
  - (2) Is the clothing part of the equipment which the employee should be required to furnish in view of the regular duties of the work?

Laboratory coats furnished to chemists were thus considered protection to a person in the course of his regular duties and wors hold a presonal expense. In the case of a telephone amplifying dovice to assist employees with deficient hearing, howaver, procurement was countried for the bonefit of the Government and not for the exclusive use of the exployee, and ayment was authorized. Some further deviation from the general rule was allowed in the purchase of rubber boots for small ortions of transient work re engaged in outdoor work which replace their ar sence on wet ground or in water. In the latter cases, the Comptroller took particular pains to condition his approval an the transfeat rature of the writers and the fact that the boots were not used by regular asplayees in the performance of of second durings for which their corvices were engaged. Apparently the only saturated in which the question has arisen since the pagsage of :. . 600 is found in unpublished opinion B-62261 of Decampor 27, 19hc. The question was raised by the Becretary of State in the administration of the Milippine Pehabilitation act and the enswer is of no value since the Comptroller prodicates his finding on the fact that Philipino trainees are not "coplayees of the United States."

## Approved For Release 2001/09/03 : CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070045-3

In view of the above, it is a commat tenuous but we bolieve that the school of the boots and rainforts can be justified on the basis that the job could not be antisfactorily performed without them, and it is not anticfactorily performed without them, and it is not anticfactorily opening the in the course of his regular delices. Hain is the unusual rather than a normal condition of employment, and it correctly appears to be in the interest of the Government to provide protective clothing which would insure the continued and unbuspered vigilance of the guards. We would suggest, however, that the coats and boots be kept on station for the use of the guard devail as a unit and so the assigned to individuals under custody cards for the entire period of their apployments.

25X1A

25X1A

2 PBT

Chrono
Legal Docisions

Encl. 2

STATSPEC

1. Memo dtd 5 Oct. 1919 from Chief 2. Nemo dtd 8 Sept. 1919 from Chief,

STATSPEC